

In-situ characterization of low-viscosity direct ink writing

Leanne Friedrich and Matthew Begley Materials, University of California, Santa Barbara



eservoi

manual

adjustmen

ShopBo

Acoustic focusing enables control of composite microstructures.

Varying strength, stiffness, conductivity, and other properties along the print path can expand the complexity of 3D printed parts. focus off We can print two-phase materials with properties that vary along the print print line using direct ink writing direction with acoustic focusing. Direct ink writing (DIW): Layer-by-layer printing of fluid inks which are solidified after deposition focus Acoustic focusing: Aligning and on moving particles in microfluidic channels using acoustic waves dense particles go Viscous drag hinders light particles go to nozzle center to nozzle edge focusina, requiring lowviscosity inks. Glass car At low viscosities, flow direction: capillarity and viscous into the page Acoustic dissipation both standing influence print bead Si channel wave piezo stability. Lubrication theory predicts print bead morphology.

Using a viscocapillary model developed for slot die coating, we can

- Monitor stability using meniscus geometry
- Predict stability using
- printing parameters



 $\Delta P_{UD} = \Delta P_U - \Delta P_D$

R. R. Collino, T. R. Ray, R. C. Fleming, J. D. Cornell, B. G. Compton, M. R. Begley, *Extreme Mechanics Letters* 2016, DOI 10.1016/j.emi.2016.04.003.

L. Friedrich, M. Begley, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2018, DOI 10.1016/j.jcis.2018.05.110 This work was supported by the Institute for Collaborative Biotechnologies through contract no. W911NF-09-D-0001 from the U.S. Army Research Office, use of the Microfluidics Laboratory and the NanoStructures Cleanroom Facility at the California Nanosystems Institute, as well as the Nanofabrication Facility (a part of the NSF-funded National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network) at UCSB.

We can monitor stability and wetting *in-situ* using digital image analysis.

By capturing videos of the print bead *in-situ*, we can monitor

- filament-substrate (SL) contact line position and angle
- nozzle-substrate (NL) contact line position and angle
- upstream and downstream meniscus curvatures, used to calculate $\ensuremath{\textit{P}_{L}}$

Print bead behaviors fall under three regimes.



600

500

400

300

200

100

-100

0

Pressure differential *AP_{UD.L}*(Pa)

Overflow: the contact line moves far upstream of the nozzle exit

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4

Contact line position lst (mm)



110



Time (s)

In the droplet regime, the nozzle-liquid contact line climbs the nozzle every time the filament ruptures and a new contact line forms. Thus, to limit nozzle wetting, it is important to prevent rupture.

We can predict stability from printing parameters.

measuring both metrics at varying stage speeds and flow speeds. For a faster

and more reliable *in-situ* metric, the contact angle can serve as a proxy for

pressure differential. The relative rates of change of the contact angle and

The viscocapillary model predicts that the pressure differential should

decrease as the contact line moves upstream, which we observe by

The viscocapillary model can be used to select combinations of stage speed, flow speed, and stand-off distance which produce balanced filaments. However, the two-dimensional model anticipates that ink will drip out of the front edge of the nozzle ($l_{SL} = 0.5$) before it actually does.

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4

Contact line position lst (mm)

contact line position indicate the print bead stability.



camera